Redistricting Advisory Committee

May 16, 2011

Staff Presentation:

Redistricting Plans Submitted by the Public and Draft Redistricting Plans Prepared at Committee Direction



New Tools Available

- Population of cities
- Population of some communities in the City of San Diego, as identified by the Committee
- Reference maps
- Supporting documents for each redistricting plan:
 - Plan submittal documents (for public plans)
 - Report of districts by tract
 - Report of population and demographics
 - Printed map
- All materials will be posted to www.sdcounty.ca.gov/redistricting

Redistricting Plans Submitted by the Public

- Concentrating Communities of Interest
- APAC Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map

"Concentrating Communities of Interest" Submittal Narrative

Complete narrative included in "communications received" and will be posted on webpage

- Split cities can be cured by using census blocks
- Communities of Interest
- Minority "voting strength"
- Rural district 18 Tribal Governments
- Central District reflects diversity

"Concentrating Communities of Interest" Population

Elec Code § 21500 – "as nearly equal in population as may be"

District	1	2	3	4	5
Population	619,838	625,091	615,942	611,401	623,041
Deviation*	775	6,028	-3,121	-7,662	3,978
% Deviation	0.13%	0.97%	-0.50%	-1.24%	0.64%

^{*} Based on an ideal supervisorial district population of 619,063

"Concentrating Communities of Interest" Demographics

Proposed district (existing district)

District	1	2	3	4	5
Concus 2010 % Hispania	58.56%	23.22%	12.10%	30.58%	35.59%
Census 2010 % Hispanic	(54.47%)	(23.54%)	(19.90%)	(29.79%)	(30.60%)
Census 2010 % NH Asian*	12.57%	5.07%	22.03%	12.31%	8.08%
Cellsus 2010 % INFLASIdii	(11.08%)	(5.71%)	(17.98%)	(17.24%)	(7.84%)
Census 2010 % NH Black*	6.21%	3.91%	2.75%	10.20%	3.00%
Cellsus 2010 % INFI Black	(5.59%)	(5.41%)	(2.59%)	(9.6%)	(3.14%)
ACS % CVAP Hispanic	43.21%	13.36%	9.21%	17.19%	18.38%
ACS % CVAP HISPAINC	(37.88%)	(14.51%)	(10.72%)	(17.69%)	(16.82%)
ST % CVAP Hispanic	43.86%	13.54%	8.89%	17.81%	18.15%
31 % CVAP HISPAINC	(38.53%)	(14.67%)	(10.26%)	(17.11%)	(16.70%)
ACS % CVAP NH Asian	13.00%	3.35%	15.37%	9.17%	6.10%
ACS % CVAP INT ASIAH	(10.66%)	(3.95%)	(13.2%)	(13.05%)	(5.65%)
ST % CVAP NH Asian*	12.36%	3.24%	17.97%	9.87%	6.14%
31 % CVAP INTI ASIAIT	(10.20%)	(3.84%)	(15.76%)	(14.79%)	(5.55%)
ACS % CVAP NH Black	9.07%	3.49%	2.56%	9.31%	2.92%
ACS /0 CVAP INTI DIACK	(7.70%)	(4.64%)	(2.61%)	(9.03%)	(3.2%)
ST % CVAP NH Black*	8.42%	3.59%	2.42%	9.44%	2.83%
31 /0 CVAP INTI DIACK	(7.22%)	(4.71%)	(2.48%)	(8.14%)	(3.09%)

^{*}Race categories aggregated as recommended by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and Department of Justice (DOJ)

APAC "Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map" Submittal Narrative

Complete narrative included in "communications received" and will be posted on webpage

"Empowers Asian and Pacific Islander community in San Diego"

Note: The original APAC plan data file, when imported, did not generate a map looking like the map that APAC had also submitted. So staff worked with APAC's Vince Vasquez to determine APAC's actual desired tract and block assignments, now reflected in the APAC Draft Plan presented today.

APAC "Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map" Submittal Narrative

- "Empowers Asian and Pacific Islander (API) community in San Diego" as follows:
- Raises highest API % to 21.6% (Dist 3)
- Unifies Mira Mesa
- Proposed District 3 includes all of proposed City of San Diego District 9
- Proposed District 3 comprised of 6 of 10 San Diego neighborhoods with highest Asian residents and 5 of 10 largest Asian community planning areas, and covers 36% of San Diego's API population

APAC "Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map" Population

Elec Code § 21500 - Equal population

District	1	2	3	4	5
Population	633,087	620,319	606,767	609,775	625,365
Deviation*	14,024	1,256	-12,296	-9,288	6,302
% Deviation	2.27%	0.20%	-1.99%	-1.50%	1.02%

^{*} Based on an ideal supervisorial district population of 619,063

APAC "Proposed 2010 County Redistricting Map" Demographics

Proposed Districts (Current Districts)

District	1	2	3	4	5
Census 2010 % Hispanic	55.63%	22.98%	19.91%	29.11%	31.71%
Cerisus 2010 % mispanic	(54.47%)	(23.54%)	(19.90%)	(29.79%)	(30.60%)
Census 2010 % NH Asian*	11.17%	6.26%	21.98%	13.99%	6.83%
Cerisus 2010 // NITASian	(11.08%)	(5.71%)	(17.98%)	(17.24%)	(7.84%)
Census 2010 % NH Black*	5.59%	5.40%	2.74%	9.10%	3.19%
Cerisus 2010 // NITI Black	(5.59%)	(5.41%)	(2.59%)	(9.6%)	(3.14%)
ACS % CVAP Hispanic	39.85%	14.29%	11.13%	16.36%	17.21%
ACS % CVAF HISPAINC	(37.88%)	(14.51%)	(10.72%)	(17.69%)	(16.82%)
ST % CVAP Hispanic	39.91%	14.44%	10.34%	17.28%	17.06%
31 % CVAF HISPAINC	(38.53%)	(14.67%)	(10.26%)	(17.11%)	(16.70%)
ACS % CVAP NH Asian	11.13%	4.16%	16.39%	10.23%	5.33%
ACS % CVAP INFLASIAN	(10.66%)	(3.95%)	(13.2%)	(13.05%)	(5.65%)
ST % CVAP NH Asian*	10.46%	4.16%	18.72%	11.11%	5.33%
31 % CVAP IVII ASIAII	(10.20%)	(3.84%)	(15.76%)	(14.79%)	(5.55%)
ACS % CVAP NH Black	8.12%	4.55%	2.83%	8.16%	3.22%
ACS % CVAP INTI DIACK	(7.70%)	(4.64%)	(2.61%)	(9.03%)	(3.20%)
ST % CVAP NH Black*	7.51%	4.68%	2.60%	8.35%	3.09%
31 /0 CVAF IVIT DIACK	(7.22%)	(4.71%)	(2.48%)	(8.14%)	(3.09%)

^{*}Race categories aggregated as recommended by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and Department of Justice (DOJ)

Draft Redistricting Plans Prepared at Committee Direction

- 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 1
- 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 2
- 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 3
- 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 4
- 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 5

Draft Redistricting Plans

- Prepared based on committee direction at May 9, 2011 meeting for discussion purposes
- Demographic data for each plan available in hard copy and online
- Plans will be posted to the online Redistricting Plan Viewer

05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 1

Committee Member Skorepa Comments/Directions to Staff	Staff Action(s)
Provided list of tracts to move out of District 1	Included in plan
Move tracts 77.02, 76, 75.01, 68.01, 73.01, 72, 73.02 and 74 out of District 1 (into District 3 or District 4)	Tracts removed from District 1 into District 4 for population balance (including tracts 75.02 and 77.01)
Keep the communities of Point Loma and Bonita in District 1	Included in plan to the extent possible based on tracts identified for removal
Keep tracts 63, 64, 65, 66, 68, 68.02, 69, 70 and 71 in District 1	Included in plan to the extent possible (no tracts 64, 68, 70, but included tract 70.02)
Tract 65 can move out of District 1 if needed to balance population	Moving tract 65 not necessary to balance population
Tract 99.01 should remain in District 1	Included in plan

05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 1 Total Population

District	Population	Deviation*	% Deviation*
1	612,103	-6,960	-1.12%
2	570,543	-48,520	-7.84%
3	626,577	7,514	1.21%
4	635,330	16,267	2.63%
5	650,760	31,697	5.12%

^{*} Based on an ideal supervisorial district population of 619,063

05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 2

Committee Member Weeks Comments/Directions to Staff	Staff Action(s)
Provided list of tracts for inclusion in District 2	Included in plan
Bring the Navajo Community Planning Area into District 2	Included in plan
Move District 2 west and closer to I-15 in the north, pushing west of Poway	Included in plan
Include the community of Rancho Bernardo in District 2	Rancho Bernardo divided to maintain District 3 contiguity
Bring a number of blocks in tract 170.06 into District 2	Included in plan
Include the community of San Carlos in District 2	Included in plan

05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 2 Total Population

District	Population	Deviation*	% Deviation*
1	654,849	35,786	5.78%
2	623,068	4,005	0.65%
3	574,073	-44,990	-7.27%
4	592,563	-26,500	-4.28%
5	650,760	31,697	5.12%

^{*} Based on an ideal supervisorial district population of 619,063

05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 3

Committee Member Ridz Comments/Directions to Staff	Staff Action(s)
Move the City of Carlsbad into District 3	Included in plan
Move the community of University City (bounded by I-5, I-805, I-52 "Golden Triangle") into District 3	Included in plan
Move the community of Tierrasanta (bounded by I-52, I-15, Friars Road, Mission Gorge and Cowles	Moved into District 4 as directed by Anderson
Mountain Park) out of District 3.	Moved tracts 97.04, 98.01, 98.02, 98.04, 98.05 into District 2 as directed by Weeks

05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 3 cont.

Committee Member Ridz Comments/Directions to Staff	Staff Action(s)
Not opposed to moving the community of San Carlos out of District 3.	Moved into District 2
Move the City of Escondido out of District 3 (District 2 or District 5)	Moved into District 5 for population balance
Keep the community of La Jolla including the Torrey Pines area in District 3.	Included in plan

05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 3 Total Population

District	Population	Deviation*	% Deviation*
1	654,849	35,786	5.78%
2	596,776	-22,287	-3.60%
3	575,857	-43,206	-6.98%
4	574,931	-44,132	-7.13%
5	692,900	73,837	11.93%

^{*} Based on an ideal supervisorial district population of 619,063

05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 4

Committee Chairman Anderson Comments/Directions to Staff	Staff Action(s)
Move the community of Tierrasanta into District 4	Included in plan
Move the Navajo Community Planning Area into District 4.	Included in plan
Move the northern boundary of District 1 to align with the San Diego River. District 4 should not include census tracts in the Point Loma/Loma Portal/Midway area. Include the communities of Mission Bay, Mission Beach, South Mission, Crown Point, and Pacific Beach in District 4.	Included in plan

05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 4 cont.

Committee Chairman Anderson Comments/Directions to Staff	Staff Action(s)
Move the northern boundary of District 4 to align with Genesee Avenue, west of I-5	Included in plan to the extent possible along Census block lines
In the downtown area, District 4 should include the City of San Diego City Hall (tract 53)	Included in plan
Move the communities north of Miramar Air Station and east of I-15 that include Scripps Ranch (bounded by I-15 east to Poway, and/or the unincorporated area of the County, north to Poway Road), Sabre Springs (bounded by Poway Road north to Carmel Mountain Ranch, east to Pomerado Road, all within the City of San Diego and bordering the City of Poway), Carmel Mountain Ranch, and Rancho Bernardo, north to the City of Escondido boundary, into District 2.	Included in plan to the extent possible (not including tract 170.22)

05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 4 Total Population

District	Population	Deviation*	% Deviation*
1	640,471	21,408	3.46%
2	620,020	957	0.15%
3	423,054	-196,009	-31.66%
4	761,008	141,945	22.93%
5	650,760	31,697	5.12%

^{*} Based on an ideal supervisorial district population of 619,063

05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 5

Committee Member Day Comments/Directions to Staff	Staff Action(s)
Move the City of Escondido into District 3	Included in plan
Move the unincorporated area north of Escondido (Jesmond Dene) to District 3 (unincorporated area between tracts 203.04 and 201.03)	Included in plan
Move the unincorporated San Pasqual area (tracts 207.05 and 207.06) to District 2	Included in plan
Move the community of 4-S Ranch into District 3 (tract 170.30)	Included in plan
Move the community of Del Dios into District 3 (tract 204.01)	Included in plan

05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 5 cont.

Committee Member Day Comments/Directions to Staff	Staff Action(s)
Move the community of San Carlos into District 2	Navajo Community Plan area moved into District 2. Tierrasanta Community Plan area moved into District 2.
Defers to Committee Member Skorepa's comments regarding District 1	Incorporated Skorepa's direction regarding District 1 to the extent possible
Move the communities of Mission Beach and Pacific Beach into District 3	Incorporated Skorepa's direction regarding District 1 to the extent possible
Move the communities of Mission Beach and Ocean Beach, perhaps as far north as La Jolla, into District 4 if needed to balance population	Incorporated Skorepa's direction regarding District 1 to the extent possible

05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 5 cont.

Committee Member Day Comments/Directions to Staff	Staff Action(s)
Move the areas of the City of San Diego currently in District 5 near Black Mountain into District 3 to align the boundary of District 3 with the City of San Diego boundary	Included in plan
Keep the community of Bonita in District 1	Included in plan

05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 5 Total Population

District	Population	Deviation*	% Deviation*
1	612,829	-6,234	-1.01%
2	634,944	15,881	2.57%
3	601,279	-17,784	-2.87%
4	634,604	15,541	2.51%
5	611,657	-7,406	-1.20%

^{*} Based on an ideal supervisorial district population of 619,063